#### GH-6-96 - Volume 56

Index for all transcripts / Indice des transcriptions d'audiences
Index for this transcript / Indice de la présente transcription d'audience
previous volume précédent
next volume suivant

# **NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD**



# OFFICE NATIONAL DE L'ÉNERGIE

Order No. GH-6-96

Ordonnance No GH-6-96

Various

Sable Offshore/Onshore Projects

Hearing held at Audience tenue à

Halifax, Nova Scotia

14 July 1997 14 juillet 1997

Volume 56

Canadä

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2000

© Sa Majesté du Chef du Canada 2000

as represented by the National Energy Board

représentée par l'Office national de l'énergie

This publication is the recorded verbatim transcript and, as such, is taped and transcribed in either of the official languages, depending on the languages spoken by the participant at the public hearing.

Cette publication est un compte rendu textuel des délibérations et, en tant que tel, est enregistrée et transcrite dans l'une ou l'autre des deux langues officielles, compte tenu de la langue utilitisée par le participant à l'audience publique.

Printed in Canada

Imprimé au Canada

For convenience of the reader, this transcript has been reproduced by the National Energy Board for electronic distribution. The official copy of the transcript is available for viewing through the National Energy Board library.

Panel proceedings.

Pour la commodité du lecteur, l'Office national de l'énergie a reproduit cette transcription en vue de sa distribution électronique. On peut consulter la copie officielle de la transcription à la bibliothèque de l'Office national de l'énergie.

ORDER NO. GH-6-96
ORDONNANCE No GH-6-96
IN THE MATTER of the proceedings concerning the Sable Gas Projects undertaken by the Joint Public Review Panel as established by the Joint Review Agreement dated June 1996 among the parties thereto;
AND IN THE MATTER of the proceedings of the National Energy Board commenced pursuant to Hearing Order GH-6-96 to be consolidated with and heard during the Joint Public Review

RELATIVE à une demande de Mobil Oil Canada Properties et Shell Canada limitée concernant le "Sable Offshore Energy Project" et à une demande de Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline Management Limited concernant la partie canadienne du "Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline Project".

RELATIVE A la Loi sur l'Office national de l'énergie et à ses règlements d'application (S.R.C., chapitre N-7).

Hearing held at Halifax, Nova Scotia, on Monday, July 14, 1997. Audience tenue à Halifax, Nouvelle-Ecosse,

## le lundi 14 juillet 1997.

### PANEL:

R. O. Fournier Chairman/Président
J. L. Davies Member/Membre
J. T. Sears Member/Membre
A. Côté-Verhaaf Member/Membre
K. W. Vollman Member/Membre

### II APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

L.E. Smith ) R.G. Grant ) J. Dickson ) N.M. Gretener ) M. Morin ) K. Dyte ) S. Denstedt ) D. Unruh ) D. Jones ) L. Keough )	Sable Offshore Energy Project/Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline Project
N.J. Schultz Petroleum Producers	Canadian Association of
T. Boudreau ) C. Scott )	Canadian Federation of Labour
<pre>C. Murphy ) G. Dumoulin ) J. Maloney )</pre>	Cape Breton Island Building and Construction Trades Council
R. Owen ) G. Marquis )	The Clean Nova Scotia Foundation
D.J. Grady ) B.N. Williams ) P. Moriarity )	Coalition for Responsible Economic and Environmental Development
<pre>C. O'Connor ) E. Zscheile )</pre>	The Confederacy of Mainland Micmacs
D. Thompson ) J. Dingwell )	Conservation Council of New Brunswick
H. Epstein ) M. Butler ) A. Ruffman )	Ecology Action Centre

R.J.Pett ) M. O'Brien ) T. Kenchington)	
C. Chisholm Boilermakers	International Brotherhood of
S. Graves ) F. Tardif ) J. Henley )	Mainland Nova Scotia Building and Construction Trade Council

# III APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

P.F. Christie Association	Maritime Pipeline Landowners
J. Reynolds ) D.R. Cleveland ) P. Doig )	Metropolitan Halifax Chamber of Commerce
F.V. Hall ) D. Wimberly)	Millwood Environmental Action Team
R.J. Hunka ) T. Martin ) D. Bruce Clarke )	Native Council of Nova Scotia
C. Purcell	Nova Scotia Salmon Association
R. Starr	Nova Scotia New Democratic Party
G. Archibald Nova Scotia	Progressive Conservative Caucus of
D. Ablonczy	Reform Party of Canada
<pre>G. Dalzell ) D. Thompson) J. Dingwell)</pre>	Saint John Citizens for Clean Air
J. Abouchar R. Perley P. Barlow	Union of New Brunswick Indians
B. Chisholm Journeymen and Appr the Plumbing and Pi Industry of the Uni	pe Fitting

#### and Canada - Local 244

	Pace MacDonald	)	United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters - Local 56
c.	Recchia	)	World Wildlife Fund
C.	Stewart	)	
R.	Clowater	)	
I.	Milewski		

# IV APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

```
D. Wimberly )
                   Allergy and Environmental
H. Lofgren )
                  Health Association, Nova
Scotia, on behalf of Allergy
and Environmental Health
Association, New Brunswick;
Allergy and Environmental
Health Association, Canada;
Real Alternatives To Toxics
In The Environment; and Nova
Scotia Coalition On
Environmental Hypersensitivity
K. Burrill
                   Accent Engineering Consultants Inc.
R.J. Harrison
                   Central Maine Power Company
N. Miller
                   Corridor Resources Inc.
                   Country Harbour Sea Farms
H. Hancock
Co-Operative Limited
                    Irving Oil Limited
J.H. Smellie )
S. Kirstiuk
J.F. Bowe, Jr.)
F.V.W. Penick )
                   Kimberly-Clark Nova Scotia,
D. MacDougall )
                    a Division of Kimberly-Clark
Incorporated, et al (Large
Industrial Group)
K.D. Dyte )
                    Mobil Oil Canada Properties
L.E. Smith )
R.G. Grant )
                  Mosbacher Operating Ltd.
L.L. Manning
```

```
I.A. Blue, Q.C. ) New Brunswick Power Corporation
P.J. Dykeman, Q.C.)

C.K. Yates ) Nova Scotia Power Incorporated
P.W. Gurnham )
R.S. Niedermayer )

F.V.W. Penick PanCanadian Petroleum Limited

C.B. Woods PanEnergy Marketing Limited

Partnership
```

# V APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

```
A. S. Hollingworth) Portland Natural Gas Transmission
J. Zipp ) System
               )
G. Williams
D. Wood
               )
L.W. van Hemert Seafloor Structures Consulting
Limited
S. MacDonald
                 Shell Canada Limited
R. Langlois )
                 Société en Commandite Gaz
R. Lassonde )
                 Métropolitain
M. Imbleau )
B. Pepin )
                Statia Terminals Canada Inc.
J. Calnan )
P. Crissman )
T. Thompson )
D.M. Campbell, Q.C.) Tatham Offshore Inc.
D.F. Gallivan )
C.M. Darling
M.K. Lewis
A. L. Reid ) TransCanada PipeLines Limited
J.M. Murray )
L.-A. Leclerc )
                 Trans Québec & Maritimes
R. Heider )
                 Pipeline Inc.
                 Hydro-Québec
J. Bertrand )
P. Lemieux
            j
G. Marchand )
M. Marcouiller )
```

G.	Cameron	Union Gas Limited
A.	Travers) McIver ) Lindsay)	Environment Canada, Environmental Protection Branch
D. J.	<pre>C. Sweeney) Gordon ) Ledbetter) Currie )</pre>	Department of Fisheries and Oceans
J.	Coady	Cape Breton Regional Municipality

### VI APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

R. Rankin ) B. MacDonald)	Halifax Regional Municipality
H. MacLeod Guysborough	Municipality of the District of
D. Hawkins Labrador	Government of Newfoundland and
G.L. MacDonald) A.J. England )	Guysborough County Regional Development Authority
R. Redgrave Commission	Maine Public Utilities
J. Brisson ) R. Ménard ) J. Lebuis )	Procureur général du Québec
<pre>I.A. Blue, Q.C. ) P. MacNutt, Q.C.) A. Hamilton )</pre>	Province of New Brunswick
D. G. Davies ) H. R. Huber ) T. M. Hughes ) G. Corsano )	Province of Nova Scotia
M. Ledwell) V. Bulger ) L. Walsh )	Province of Prince Edward Island

C. MacKinnon

City of Saint John

Strait-Highlands Regional Development P. Doig Agency, Town of Port Hawkesbury, and the Strait Area Chamber of Commerce

F. Leblanc, M.P. On his own behalf

E. Lockerby

On his own behalf

G. Randall

On his own behalf

P. Noonan

Board Counsel

C. Beauchemin )

VII

)

INDEX

PAGE

Text of Written Argument-in-Chief of the Municipality of the District of Guysborough:

Text of Argument-in-Reply filed by the Department of Justice on behalf of DFO and DOE: 11933

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of TQM:

Submissions by Mr. Leclerc

11939

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of TCPL:

Submissions by Mr. Reid

11966

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of GMi:

Submissions by Mr. Pepin

11978

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of IOL:

Submissions by Mr. Smellie

11997

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of the Province of New Brunswick and NB Power:

Submissions by Mr. Blue

12034

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of the Province of Nova Scotia:

Submissions by Mr. Hughes

12075/12089

Text of Written Reply Argument on Behalf of the Confederacy of Mainland Micmacs Follows:

(Prepared by Eric A. Zscheile):

12094

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of CAPP:

Submissions by Mr. Schultz:

12098

VIII

INDEX

PAGE

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of SOEP:

Submissions by Mr. Grant

12119

Argument-in-Reply on behalf of
M&NE:

Submissions by Mr. Smith

12136

Text of Written Argument-in-Reply

on behalf of M&NE/SOEP:

12165

A Short Recess/Pause

11996

Luncheon Adjournment/Pause-midi

12088.

TRANSCRIPT CORRECTIONS/
CORRECTIONS A LA TRANSCRIPTION

Volume 52 - 8 July 1997

Page

Line

10744

24 "(1)" should read "(Convention on Biological Diversity, article

22(2)(4))"

ı		(Text)
1 1	(c) An ADR process	, = ====,
•	(d) Funding for the MicMac to	
2		
3	participate	
4	(e) Specific employment and	
5	equity	
6	and contract procurement goals	
7	(f) These types of agreements	
8	are	
9 I	common in Northeast BC with	
10 i	various of	
11	the First Nations located here.	
I		
12 1	They	
13	are typically only entered into	
14	if you	
15	are active on Traditional Lands	
16	which	
17	the MicMac claim is all of Nova	
18	Scotia	•
19 I	and New Brunswick We have	
20	committed	
21	on the record to continue to work	
22	with	
23	and consult First Nations. We have	
,	committed to have them involved	
24		
25	in the	•
26	process and get their input on	
27	medicinal plants and	
28	archeological	
29	items. We will comply with all	
30	those	
1		12303 M&NE/SOEP Reply
. 1		(Text)
1	commitments as a condition of the	· · ·
2	approval so that affords the	
3	First	
	,	
4	Nations the protection they	
5	desire of	
6	their rights. We can believe	
7	that	
8	such a protocol is fair and	
9	reasonable.	•
10	Conservation Council of New Brunswick	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	1. We have responded to each	
12	proposed	
13	condition in turn. Maritimes has	•
14	already committed to it.	
7-3	l	
1 =	I 2 Manitimaa harra almaadu	•
15	2. Maritimes have already	
16	addressed	
17	this issue in argument.	
18	3. Request for no burning of	
19	brush	
20	and tree limbs along the route.	

21   22   23   24   25   26   1   27   28   29   30   30   1	Maritimes will seek to avoid this, but here are provincial air quality regulations we will be complying with in any event.  4. This issue was responded Joint Panel's list of proposed conditions		
ا		12304 M&NE/SOEP	Reply
ا ،	that we will only use herbicides	(Text)	
1   2	at		,
3	the valve sites.		
I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4	5. Maritimes will work with		
5 I	individual landowners to ensure		
6   7	that access is minimized and to use		
8	all		
9 1	reasonable efforts to prohibit		
10	unauthorized motorized vehicles		
11	along		
12	the right of way.		
10	C. We have almosty committed to		
13   14	6. We have already committed to		
15	this (24T4077-78).		
16	Maritimes Pipeline Landowners Association	-	•
17	1. These submissions principally		
18	relate to compensation which is	·	
19	beyond		
20	the scope of this proceeding.		
21	Native Council of Nova Scotia		
22 23	1. There is ongoing relationship between the parties and to ensure		
24	that		
25	all people know what is going on		
26	and		
27	how natives can benefit.		
		·	
28	2. Maritimes made commitments to		
29 30	work   with the First Nation groups We		
50	with the fille hatten groups. He	12305 M&NE/SOEP	Reply
		(Text)	
1	are		
2	not opposed in principle to		
3	entering		
4	into a protocol with all of the		
5 6	First   Nations groups to ensure that		
7	their		
8	issues are given a forum and		
. 9	addressed		

10 I	_	ded it is fair and	
11		nable but	
12	it nee	ed not be a condition.	
13	TUE CL	HAIR: Thank you, Mr. Smith.	
14	I THE CE	ARR: Induk you, Mr. Barten.	
15	That h	orings us to a close.	
16	I woul	ld like to make a few	
17	remarks of	my own.	
70	Many	individuals have taken the	
18   19		y, with the approach of the end of the	
20		o make observations and to express	
21	thanks.		
	1		
22		ld like to avail myself of	
23		unity to also offer a couple of comments	
24		d to procedural matters, which are based	
25		ively brief experience doing this sort	
26	of thing.		
27	l As voi	u all know, some criticisms	
28		led principally by inexperienced	
29	Intervenor		
30	during t	the process about how daunting and	
			2306
1	I intimidatir	ng and complex the process was.	
	1		
2	   I agre	ee. Observing from this	•
3	I agre	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things	
3 4	I agre   vantage poi   are true, t	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have	
3 4 5	I agre	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is	
3 4 5 6	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the	
3 4 5	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is	
3 4 5 6	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.	
3 4 5 6 7	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or a limit of the process of	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the	
3 4 5 6 7	I agree vantage poor are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or I have over this p	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have of the some times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the resimply short term.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or I have over this put with very process and	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have of many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the resimply short term.  The watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or I have over this put with very process and	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or I have over this put with very process and clearly gree in the process and clearly gree	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or I have over this post with very process and clearly gree And I	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or limited by the second state of the process and clearly ground lack of far	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or limited by the second state of the process and clearly ground lack of far	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or a limit of the process and clearly group and inherent limit of the process and clearly group and the process and cle	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or a limit of the process and clearly group and inherent limit of the process and clearly group and the process and cle	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or a limit of the process and cover this process and clearly group and inherent limit of the process and cover the process and cove	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or a second over this post with very process and clearly gree And I lack of far an inherent At lead observation And I	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal n.  am encouraged by that.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or a second over this post with very process and clearly gree And I lack of far an inherent At lead observation And I	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have lf many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal n.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I agree vantage post are true, it asked mysel whether the process, or with very process and clearly growth an inherent At lead observation And I That is what	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal n.  am encouraged by that. at I am attempting to tell you.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I agree vantage post are true, to asked mysel whether the process, or with very process and clearly growth an inherent an inherent At least observation And I That is whether the process and clearly growth and I have been also	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal n.  am encouraged by that. at I am attempting to tell you.  ieve that some of those	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I agree vantage post are true, it asked mysel whether the process, or whether the process, or with very process and clearly growth an inherent an inherent an inherent and I had I h	ee. Observing from this int, I agree that all of those things to some extent. And the question I have If many times during the process is ey are long-term problems with the r simply short term.  e watched Intervenors grow process. Inexperienced Intervenors, little resources, who started the d who clearly were intimidated, have own and developed.  believe that it reflects a miliarity with the process, rather than t difficulty with the process.  ast, that is a personal n.  am encouraged by that. at I am attempting to tell you.	

A second criticism that we have

23 |

24 25 26	   	heard during the process is that the process is too legalistic, too adversarial, too inaccessible, and too time consuming.	
27 28 29	.     	And again I have asked myself the same question, as to whether those were valid criticisms of this process.	
	<b>i</b> 1		
30	   	I have come to realize that	12307
1 2		"legalistic", the word "legalistic", is actually a synonym for "ordered and structured".	
3 4 5 6 7	1	I live in a university environment, in which there is very little order and very little structure (Laughter/Rires) THE CHAIR: I admit it!	
8 9 10	 	One hundred and twenty-five individual representations would take a hundred times longer to deal with in that environment.	
11 12 13 14	       · · ·	So it is legalistic; yes. But the ordered and structured nature of it, I think is encouraging, in the fact that it allows all of those differing viewpoints to be represented.	
15 16 17	1 1 1	So, yes, it is a criticism of sorts. But I think it is a price we pay in order to carry out the business that is necessary.	
18 19		Also, the word "adversarial" I think refers to the cross-examination process.	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	 	And again I am new to this. But what I have learned from the cross-examination process is that it is an extremely useful tool — a tool which, I think, tests the quality of the information that is brought forward; that, rather than rhetoric and arm-waving, allows the truth to come forward, that then allows the decision process to proceed.	
27 28 29	 	So again I am encouraged by that, as well.	
30	· ·   · ·       · · ·       · · · · ·	So I think what we are dealing with is a process which can easily be criticized	12308
1 2 3	     	from the outside. But from my vantage-point, over the last three months, it has been really quite interesting.	

Another criticism that has been 5 levelled at the process is that it is too formal. 6 I think it is safe to say that in this room, the stakes are very high. The numbers, 7 the dollars that we have been talking about over 8 the last three months have been measured in 9 10 billions -something that I am not normally accustomed to. 11 12 That is a word I am not normally accustomed to use. 13 And vet, given the fact that the stakes are so high and that there is a great deal 15 16 of emotion and interest, and self-interest, tied 17 into this process, I don't think that I have ever been involved in a process in which there has been 18 a greater degree of civility and decorum. 19 20 It is really quite amazing. 21 So I think that, in many ways, the word "formal" is a complement, rather than a 22 23 negative. 24 I am a temporary regulator, as you know. Not so long ago, the world of energy 25 regulation was totally unknown to me. 26 27 Now that I have had this brief 28 experience in the process ---It is a process that is not 29 12309 30 without its flaws. There is no question of that. But I think it is an eminently workable process -a process which I think, when I leave this 2 particular position, which I temporarily hold, I 3 will leave with a certain degree of confidence in 5 knowing that it is in good hands. 6 So, that is a few personal 7 remarks about my experience here. 8 The final thing I have to say 9 before I read a statement that actually brings us to a close is to once again add my voice, and that 10 of all the Panel Members, to those that have 11 extended their thanks to the people that made this 12 13 process work. 14 It hasn't necessarily been the five of us, or a few of the people who are visible 15 out here. It has been a great number of people. 16 17 Mr. Gretener was mentioned before. He has said very little in this, but yet 18

19	he has been working diligently behind the scenes.	
20		
	I think he is an example of what	
21	makes the process work: a huge number of dedicated	
22	people, who are not in the limelight, who don't	
23	get their pictures in the paper, but who actually	
24	make the machine work.	
25	1	
	I think our thanks should go out	
26	to all of those. There is no question that they	
27	really are the lubricant that makes this process	
28	work.	
29		
	That is all I have to say. I am	
30	12	310
	going to read the following statement, which	
1	basically brings us to a close:	
2	The Joint Public Review Hearing	
3	is adjourned, and our decisions and	•
4	recommendations in respect of the Applications are	
5	reserved for further consideration by the Joint	
6	Review Panel.	
7		
	That brings us to a close.	
8		
	We are adjourned. Thank you,	
9	all.	
10	(Applause/Applaudissements)	
11	The Hearing Closed/La séance est levée	
12	1	
13		

### GH-6-96 - Volume 56

Index for all transcripts / Indice des transcriptions d'audiences
Index for this transcript / Indice de la présente transcription d'audience
previous volume précédent
next volume suivant